A novel sorbent based on metal–organic framework for mercury separation from human serum samples by ultrasound assisted- ionic liquid-solid phase microextraction
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**Abstract**

In this research, the metal–organic framework (MOF) as a solid phase was used for separation mercury [Hg (II)] in human serum sample by ultrasound assisted- Ionic Liquid-solid phase microextraction procedure (USA- IL-μ-SPE). Mercury extracted from serum sample by [Zn$_2$(BDC)$_2$(DABCO)]$_n$ as MOF at pH=8. Hydrophobic ionic liquid ([BMIM] [PF6]) was used as solvent trap for Hg-MOF-NC from the sample solution. The phase of Hg-MOF-NC was back extracted by 0.5 mL of HNO$_3$ (0.2 mol L$^{-1}$) and finally mercury concentration determined with cold vapor-atomic absorption spectrometry (CV-AAS) after dilution with 0.5 mL of DW. Under the optimal conditions, the linear range, limit of detection and preconcentration factor were obtained 0.02–5.5 µg L$^{-1}$, 6.5 ng L$^{-1}$ and 9.8 for serum samples, respectively (%RSD<5%). The validation of methodology was confirmed by standard reference materials (SRM).

1. Introduction

Today, metal-organic frameworks have received considerable attention as porous coordination polymers (PCPs) and porous hybrid organic–inorganic materials because of their unique properties [1-2]. MOFs can be synthesized via self-assembly of metal ions (or metal clusters) as metal centers, and bridging ligands as linkers [3-4]. In recent years, MOFs wildly have been studied for their potential applications in many areas such as gas storage [5], separation [6], catalysis [7], optics [8], photonic [9], ion exchange [10], molecular array [11], biomedicine [12], sensing [13], drug delivery [14], luminescent [13, 15], magnetic [16], and semiconductors [17]. Several methods have been proposed to remove hazardous materials from water such as electrochemical [18], chemical coagulation [19], reverse osmosis membrane [20], and adsorbent [21-23]. The absorbent materials have been studied for different species such as nitrobenzene [24-26], phenol [27], p-xylene hydrocarbon [28], dye [29-32], heavy metal [33-34], humic acid [35], and nitrate [36-37] from the waste water. Mercury is a chemical element and heavy metal with very toxic effect. This non-essential metal can be distributed...
in the environment, natural products, and human body [38-39]. The exposure to high mercury can be resulted to the changes in the central nervous system, irritability, fatigue, behavioral changes, tremors, headaches, hearing and cognitive loss, dysarthria, incoordination, and hallucinations [40]. Mercury compounds can be harmed the liver and kidneys, resulting some disorder in enzyme activity, illness, and death [41-42]. Recently, the applications of mercury adsorbents are expanded due to increased level and toxic effect [43-44]. In present study, Zn$_2$(BDC)$_2$(DABCO) MOF was synthesized by solvothermal method for mercury absorption from serum and standard solution with CV-AAS by USA- IL-μ-SPE procedure. The 1-octyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate ([OMIM][PF$_6$]) as a hydrophobic ionic liquid was used for separating of Hg-MOF from liquid phase. The proposed method was validated by spike of real samples and CRM (NIST).

2. Experimental

2.1. Reagents and Materials

All reagents with high purity and analytical grade were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany), unless otherwise stated. Materials including zinc acetate ehydrate (Zn(Oac)$_2$.2H$_2$O), 1,4 benzenedicarboxylic acid (BDC), 1,4-diazabicyclo [2.2.2] octane (DABCO), dimethylformamide (DMF) were used for synthesis of Zn$_2$(BDC)$_2$(DABCO) MOF. All aqueous solutions were prepared in ultra-pure deionized water (R≥18 MΩ cm$^{-1}$) from Milli-Q plus water purification system (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). An Hg (II) standard stock solution (1000 mg L$^{-1}$ in 1% nitric acid, 250 mL) was purchased from Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland. The experimental and working standard solutions were prepared daily by diluting the stock solutions with deionized water. The solutions were freshly prepared and stored just in a fridge (4 °C) to prevent decomposition. A 0.6% (w/v) sodium borohydride reagent solution was prepared daily by dissolving an appropriate amount of NaBH$_4$ in 0.5% (w/v) sodium hydroxide and used as a reducing agent. 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate [HMIM][PF$_6$] was obtained from Sigma–Aldrich (M) Sdn. Bhd., Malaysia. The pH adjustments of samples were made using nitric acid (0.1 mol L$^{-1}$) for pH 1-2, and appropriate buffer solutions including sodium acetate (CH$_3$COONa/CH$_3$COOH, 1-2 mol L$^{-1}$) for pH 3.75-5.75, sodium phosphate (Na$_2$HPO$_4$/NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 0.2 mol L$^{-1}$) for pH of 5.8-8.0, and ammonium chloride (NH$_3$/NH$_4$Cl, 0.2 mol L$^{-1}$) for pH 8-10. All the laboratory glassware and plastics were cleaned by soaking in nitric acid (10%, v/v) for at least 24 h and then rinsed with deionized water before use. Due to hazardous effects of Hg solutions, gloves, safety mask, and laboratory hood should be used when mercury standard solutions are prepared.

2.2. Characterization

The MOF was characterized by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), powder X-ray diffraction (XRD), and scanning electron microscope (SEM). FTIR spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu 460 spectrometer in a KBr matrix in the range of 400–4000 cm$^{-1}$. Powder X-ray diffraction pattern was performed for evaluation of crystalline structure of bismuth oxide NP using a Philips Company X’pert diffractometer utilizing Cu-Ka radiation (ASSENWARE, AW-XBN300, China). Scanning electron microscope was investigated the morphology and MOF (KYKY, EM3200, China). Determination of mercury was performed with an atomic absorption spectrometer (GBC 932– HG3000-AUS, Australia) equipped with a flow injection cold vapor module (FI-CV-AAS), deuterium-lamp background corrector, Hg hollow-cathode lamp, and a circulating reaction loop. The working conditions of FI-CV-AAS were given in Table 1. The pH values of the solutions were measured by a digital pH meter (Metrohm, model 744, Herisau, Switzerland). A Hettich centrifuge (model EBA 20, Germany) and an ultrasonic bath with heating system (Tecno-GAZ SPA, Italy) were used throughout this study.

2.3. Synthesis of MOF

The Zn$_2$(BDC)$_2$(DABCO) MOF was prepared via
the self-assembly of primary building blocks. In a typical reaction, Zn (OAc)$_2$.2H$_2$O (0.132 g, 2 mmol), BDC (0.1 g, 2 mmol), and DABCO (0.035 g, 1 mmol) were added to 25 ml DMF. The reactants were sealed under reflux and stirred at 90 °C for 3 h. Then, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and filtered. The white crystals were washed with DMF to remove any metal and ligand remained, and dried in a vacuum. DMF was removed from white crystals with a vacuum furnace at 150 °C for 5 h.

2.4. General procedure of mercury adsorption
By USA-IL-μ-SPE procedure, 10 mL polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) centrifuge tube was used for this study. First, 10 mL of serum sample or standard aqueous solution containing Hg (II) with concentration in the range of 0.1-5.5 μg L$^{-1}$ was adjusted to optimum pH of 8 with sodium phosphate buffer solution (Na$_2$HPO$_4$/NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 0.2 mol L$^{-1}$) and transferred into the 10 mL PTFE centrifuge tube. Then 50 mg of [OMIM][PF6] dispersed in 100 μL acetone was mixed with 20 mg Zn$_2$(BDC)$_2$(DABCO) as MOF sorbent and rapidly injected by a syringe into the serum/standard solution. The resulting mixture was shaken in ultrasonic bath for 5 min at 25 °C. Hg (II) was extracted and separation by MOF. The [Zn$_2$(BDC)$_2$(DABCO)]$_n$.Hg was trapped with IL and centrifuged at 4000×g for 3 min. The Hg-MOF/IL was settled down in bottom of the conical centrifuge tube and the aqueous phase was removed with a transfer pipette. Finally, mercury species retained on the sorbent were eluted by adding 0.5 mL of 0.3 molar HNO$_3$ and vigorously shaking the tube for 1 min. The eluent phase was separated by centrifuging of the remaining mixture and Hg (II) ions were analyzed by CV-AAS after dilution with deionized water up to 1 ml.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Fourier transforms infrared spectroscopy for MOF

The FTIR spectra of MOF were recorded in the range of 400-4000 cm$^{-1}$ with KBr pellets by fourier transforms infrared spectroscopy (Fig. 2). The C–H aromatic band is shown at 3424 cm$^{-1}$. The aliphatic C–H asymmetric stretching is assigned at 2960 cm$^{-1}$. The peak at 2357 cm$^{-1}$ is related to CO$_2$ which exist in environment. The C=O stretching and carboxylic group are assigned at 1587 cm$^{-1}$ and 1387 cm$^{-1}$ respectively. FTIR spectra corresponded to the reported results [1].

#### 3.2. X-ray diffraction of MOF

The XRD measurement was used to determine the crystalline structure of MOF in 2θ range 5° to 30° (Fig. 3). The position and diffraction properties of the peaks are similar to the pattern of previously reported result [1].

#### 3.3. Scanning electron microscopy for MOF

The size and morphology structures of samples...
Fig. 1. General procedure of mercury adsorption based on MOF by USA-IL-μ-SPE

Fig. 2. FTIR spectra of Zn₂(bdc)₂(dabco) MOF

Fig. 3. XRD pattern of Zn₂(bdc)₂(dabco) MOF
were studied using SEM that shown rod-shaped with an average diameter of 70 nm, and the length of 350 nm (Fig. 4).

3.4. Adsorption mechanism
The compounds of MOF \( [\text{Zn}_2(\text{bdc})_2(\text{dabco})]_n \) such as, bdc (COO-) and dabco( N:) was used for chemical extraction of mercury from serum and standard solution samples at optimized pH. These ligands as a suitable material can be extracted the mercury ions in human biological sample at pH=8. The MOF are coordinating with the cations of Hg via nitrogen and carbocyclic bond which was deprotonated at basic pH. The mechanism of chemical and physical adsorption carried out by MOF at pH 7.5-8.5 for mercury in serum samples. The results showed us the recovery of physical adsorption in low pH without nitrogen covalence bonding (pH=3-6) was achieved 43.8 % and increased more than 95% by chemical bonding of MOF with Hg(MOF→N:→Hg) at pH=7.5-8.5 (Fig. 5)

3.5. The optimization
The optimization was investigated for the ultrasound-assisted ionic liquid-micro solid phase extraction conditions. The USA-IL-µSPE procedure provides novel and interesting approach using the MOF sorbent for extraction of mercury from water and serum samples. In order to obtain optimum speciation conditions and quantitative recoveries of inorganic and organic mercury species with good sensitivity and precision, the presented USA- IL-µSPE method was optimized for various analytical parameters. Moreover, in order to optimization of effecting parameters, standard solutions containing different concentrations of Hg (II) in the range of 0.1–5.5 µg L\(^{-1}\) were examined.
3.5.1. Back extraction of mercury from MOF
The recovery percentage was investigated for mercury absorption by MOF in presence of different acids such as \( \text{HNO}_3 \), \( \text{HCl} \), \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \), and \( \text{CH}_3\text{COOH} \) (Fig. 6), and selected 0.3 molar \( \text{HNO}_3 \) as optimum.

3.5.2. The pH effect of MOF
The pH of the sample is an important role to high recovery and extraction of Hg in human serum matrixes. The effect of serum pH on the extraction of Hg(II) based on MOF has studied from pH of 2 to 11, containing 0.1-5.5 µg L\(^{-1}\) of standard Hg(II) by USA- IL-µ-SPE method. Based on Figure 7, the extraction of Hg ions in serum and standard solution samples were increased between pH from 7.5 to 8.5. The recovery of mercury extraction were achieved more than 95% in pH=8 and decreased at pH more than 8.5 and less than 7.5. Consequently, the pH of 8 was used in further study for Hg extraction from serum and standard solution samples. In addition, the extra extraction of mercury was achieved by increasing MOF mass but, some of essential metals (Cu, Zn, Ca, Mn, Mg,) may be removed from

![Fig. 6. Recovery percentage in presence of different acids](image1)

![Fig. 7. The effect of pH on mercury extraction by MOF](image2)
human body and caused different acute disease. In proposed conditions, the recovery of Hg extraction was obtained 25% and 97.6% by IL and MOF/IL, respectively at pH=8. The mechanism of mercury extraction of MOF/IL was mainly obtained by the electrostatic attractions of deprotonated nitrogen and carbocyclic groups (N, COO) with the positively charged mercury ions at pH=8. At acidic pH, the surface of MOF, especially charge of groups have positive (+) and similar to Hg$^{2+}$, so, the recovery of extraction mercury was decreased. However, in optimized pH, the MOF sorbent had negative charge and electrostatic attraction caused to extract mercury. At high pH more than 8.5, the recovery efficiencies were decreased due to the formation of hydroxyl complexes of mercury [Hg(OH)$_2$]. Therefore, Ph=8 selected as optimized pH by USA-IL-μ-SPE procedure.

3.5.3. Effect of MOF Mass

The mass of MOF was evaluated as effective parameter for mercury absorption among 1-40 mg. Based on mass results, the optimal value was mass 20 mg for mercury absorption by the MOF. For optimization of proposed method, the amounts of [Zn$_2$(bdc)$_2$(dabco)]$_n$ in the range of 1 to 40 mg were studied for mercury extraction in serum and standard samples. The results showed us, less than 18 mg of MOF caused to decrease the extraction efficiency of mercury. So, 20 mg of [Zn$_2$(bdc)$_2$(dabco)]$_n$ was used by USA-IL-μ-SPE procedure (Fig. 8).

3.5.4. Effect of volume of serum

The optimized sample volume on the recovery of Hg(II) ions based on USA-IL-μ-SPE procedure were examined from 1 mL to 25 mL of standard and serum samples. The volume of serum was investigated as effective parameter for mercury absorption. Based on the results, the optimal value was obtained less than 18 ml for water sample by the MOF. By results, the quantitative recovery was achieved (<95%) for 15 mL and 12 mL of standard solution and serum, respectively with concentration of 0.1 – 5.5 µg L$^{-1}$ of mercury (CV-AAS). The recovery was decreased more than 12 mL and 15 mL for volume of serum and standard samples by proposed method. So, 10 mL of volume sample was used by USA-IL-μ-SPE method at pH=8 (Fig. 9).

![Fig. 8. The effect of MOF mass on mercury extraction](image-url)
3.5.5. Effect of ILs for mercury extraction

The IL was investigated as effective parameter for mercury absorption between 5-100 mg, and the optimized result was selected 50 mg. A hydrophobic ionic liquids such as; [MMIM][PF$_6$], [HMIM][PF$_6$] and [OMIM][PF$_6$] as a green solvent was used to separate MOF from the serum and standard solution (Fig. 10). The different amount of IL (5-100 mg) for separation of [Zn$_2$(bdc)$_2$(dabco)]$_n$ from serum phase were used and examined. The results showed us, the good recovery was achieved with 65 mg of [HMIM][PF$_6$] and 45 mg of [OMIM][PF$_6$]. Therefore, 50 mg of [OMIM][PF$_6$] was selected by proposed method. In addition, the effect of [OMIM][PF6] for extraction of mercury in serum matrix was investigated without [Zn$_2$(bdc)$_2$(dabco)]$_n$ sorbents. The results showed us, the extraction recoveries of Hg were obtained about 12% by [Zn$_2$(bdc)$_2$(dabco)]$_n$ which was depended to amino acid complexation in serum.

Fig. 9. The effect of sample volume on mercury extraction

Fig. 10. The effect of different ionic liquids on mercury extraction
The important factor for analyzing of mercury with \([\text{Zn}_2(\text{bdc})_2(\text{dabco})]_n\) as MOF sorbent was adsorption capacity factor (ACF). In batch system, the ACF of Hg (II) was studied for 10 mL of human serum and standard solution at pH=8. The ACF of MOF for mercury vapor in GC closed glass was 149.56 mg g\(^{-1}\). Based on characteristics of \([\text{Zn}_2(\text{bdc})_2(\text{dabco})]_n\) the most ACF related to chemical bounding of MOF as compared to physical adsorption by MOF. So, \([\text{Zn}_2(\text{bdc})_2(\text{dabco})]_n\) with high ACF was considered as excellent MOF sorbent for extraction of Hg (II) from serum and standard solution samples.

### 3.6. Interference Study

By USA-IL-μ-SPE procedure based on MOF for real samples, the interference of some coexisting ions encountered in serum samples on the recovery of Hg (II) ions was investigated under the optimal condition. This procedure was performed by adding various amounts of the interfering ions to 10 mL of standard sample solution containing 5.5 µg L\(^{-1}\) of Hg (II). Taking as criterion for interference the deviation of the recovery more than ±5%, the obtained results (Table 2) showed that most of the probable concomitant cations and anions had no considerable effect on the recovery efficiencies of Hg (II) ions under the selected conditions.

### 3.7. Validation of results

The mercury absorption capacity was examined among different applications of MOF as hybrid inorganic-organic nanoporous materials by USA-IL-μ-SPE method. The intra-day analysis of mercury was shown in Table 3 and based on this result; MOF is good candidate for mercury adsorption.

The USA-IL-μ-SPE method was used for ultra-trace mercury determination in standard solution and serum samples. The results based on average of three determinations, for Hg (II) were achieved in serum samples. For validation of results, real samples in serum and standard solution was verified by spiking of mercury standard concentration (Tables 4). The favorable recovery showed that the proposed method had good accuracy in serum matrix. The recoveries of spiked samples for serum and standard solution were obtained more than 95%. The developed method based on MOF/IL was satisfactory demonstrated for mercury analysis in serum. The concentration of Hg in petroleum (subject) and office worker (control) were studied by USA-IL-μ-SPE procedure (N=50). There were no significant differences between

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter (Intra-day)</th>
<th>Serum sample</th>
<th>Standard sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PF(^a) (n=10, ng L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSD(^c) (n=6, %)</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear range (µg L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.02 – 5.5</td>
<td>0.02 – 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.9988</td>
<td>0.9992</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Preconcentration factor, \(^b\) Limit of detection, \(^c\) Relative standard deviation.
exposed subjects and unexposed controls in terms of age and sex. The mean concentration of mercury in control groups was obtained under 1.0 μg L⁻¹. In addition, for validation of methodology, standard reference material (SRM 1641e) for inorganic mercury was analyzed by MOF/IL. The results of the SRM were satisfactorily mercury range with the certified values. Table 5 was approved the validation of developed USA-IL-μ-SPE method based on MOF with SRM 1641e standard reference material.

### 4. Conclusions

In this study, \( \text{Zn}_2(BDC)_2(DABCO) \) MOF was synthesized by solvothermal method at 90 °C for 3 h via the self-assembly metal centers and linkers using DMF solvent. Based on the results, the MOF was propped as a good candidate for mercury absorption. The highest mercury absorption was observed in pH=8, mass of MOF 20 mg, volume of serum 10 ml, volume of water 15 ml, and IL optimized 50 mg in presence of \( \text{HNO}_3 \) as optimized acid. Also, the interference of concomitant cations and anions had no considerable effect on the recovery efficiencies of Hg (II) ions under the selected conditions. Therefore, these properties can be resulted to many advantages in the future to absorb of hazardous materials.

### 5. References


---

### Table 4. Validation of USA-IL-μ-SPE method based on MOF/IL by spiking of mercury standard concentration in real samples (μg L⁻¹)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Added (μg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Found a (μg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.05</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.07</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.52 ± 0.12</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.48 ± 0.23</td>
<td>96.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4.07 ± 0.21</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.14 ± 0.27</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.02</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.02</td>
<td>97.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mean of three determinations ± confidence interval (P= 0.95, n=5).

### Table 5. Validation of developed USA-IL-μ-SPE method based on MOF with standard reference material (SRM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Certified (μg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Added (μg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Found a (μg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.446 ± 0.087</td>
<td>98.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRM 1641e b</td>
<td>1.016 ± 0.017</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.921 ± 0.126</td>
<td>96.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.897 ± 0.052</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRM 3668 c</td>
<td>0.910 ± 0.055</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.380 ± 0.092</td>
<td>96.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.875 ± 0.103</td>
<td>97.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mean of three determinations ± confidence interval (P= 0.95, n=5).

b NIST, SRM 1641e, total mercury in water (p=0.95).
c Mercury in Frozen Human Urine
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